



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,084	02/14/2001	Tadashi Ohashi	1341.1080 (JDH)	9826	
21171 7:	590 05/07/2003				
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20001		•	LEE, SEUNG H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/782,084	OHASHI, TADASHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Seung H Lee	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Priod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 F	ebruary 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vii iroin consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
Application Papers	oloolon roquiromoni.	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by	he Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ o	lisapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	pplication No			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	* *				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/782,084

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed on 06 February 2003, which has been entered in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Muranaga et al. (US 5,671,428)(hereinafter referred to as 'Muranaga').

Muranaga teaches a document processing system comprising control unit (22) serving as a communication unit and a storing control unit wherein the control unit is connected to a network (32) and performing communicating with other devices such as personal terminal devices (24 and 25), a memory unit (210-213), an execution condition verification unit (202) serving as a determination unit determine the relevant execution using the obtained data from data relationship memory unit (201) and input data from the input devices (24 and 25), the control unit storing the data/information such as message from the reviews in memory unit (21), a vote request notification unit (206), vote memory unit (207) storing the each voting participant decision serving as a second

Application/Control Number: 09/782,084 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

memory unit, a group decision making memory unit (214) and a group decision making unit (209) determining the voting decision by majority serving as a first memory unit and generating a group decision using voting procedure and storing the decision of the voting result (Fig. 30), a notification unit (205) notifying a specific processing to particular person according to his/her e-mail address, a personal terminal device (1) including a display unit (11), a graphic data or statistical data can be used in the document processing system, the vote request notification unit (206) issues the notification for requiring vote to the users wherein the notification is serving as the review request form, and a proposition form serving as the reviewed form having a data of phrases such as a unit ID, a proposition for the deletion of the document, etc. and relevant information such as voting result of the voting participants wherein the unit ID serving as phrases number to specify proposition and result of the proposition (see Figs. 1-3, 5-12, 23-33; col. 7, lines 3-16; col. 10, line 56- col. 11, line 15; col. 17, line 26-col. 26, line 24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muranaga in view of Light (US 5,973,693)

Application/Control Number: 09/782,084

Art Unit: 2876

The teachings of Muranaga have been discussed above.

Although, Muranaga teaches the document processing system with comment management, he fails to particularly teach that displaying of a graphic of the statistical data.

However, Light teaches collecting measurement data (703), generating graph representing collected data, and displaying the graph on display (see Fig. 7; col. 7, line 54- col. 8, line 26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Light to the teachings of Muranaga in order to provide an user-friendly system means for generating the graphic presentation of the voting result with collected data from each and every user wherein user(s) can acknowledge/verify the voting result instantly with the generated graphic presentation of the voting result, and therefore an obvious expedient.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that "....does not disclose or suggest the present invention's use of "evaluations" and creation of "a single review result... based on the collected evaluation and/or comment" does not disclose or suggest "determining" whether received information is "critique instructions" of a form" (see page

Application/Control Number: 09/782,084 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

6, line 4+), the Examiner respectfully provides Muranaga references wherein Muranaga teaches the group voting procedure that requires each and every voting participant to response to the instructions or questionnaire to the message originator as discussed in paragraph 3 above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure;

Art Unit: 2876

Everingham [US 6,327,611], Hajmiragha [US 6,289,460], and Evans [US 5,999,925], disclose a document management system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Seung H. Lee** whose telephone number is (703) 308-5894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax-phone number for this group is (703) 308-5841 or (703) 308-7722.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [michael.lee@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Seung H. Lee Art Unit 2876 April 28, 2003

SUPPROSORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800